



Date: 19 Apr 93 13:00:00 MDT  
From: usc!sdd.hp.com!portal!lhaven.UUmh.Ab.Ca!Lawrence\_Chen@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: Info on PackeTerm  
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Hello Amiga Packet people.

I'm looking to break into Packet myself....and I was reading through CQ,  
and saw an ad for PackeTerm.

Has anybody out there used this program? If so, can they post or send me a  
quick review of the product?

I'd also like pointers to other Packet related products for the Amiga.

aTdHvAaNnKcSe

-- Via DLG Pro v0.995

"Just a Crazy Engineer with an Amiga and an HP48sx" - The Dreamer  
Email: dreamer@lhaven.uumh.ab.ca or "Lawrence Chen" @ 1:134/3002  
PHONE: +1 403 526 6019 FAX: +1 403 529 5102 CIS: 74200,2431  
Lunatic Haven BBS (1:134/3002): +1 403 526 6957 (14,400 HST/v.32bis)  
Lunatic Haven BBS (UUCP): +1 403 526 5035 (Telebit Worldblazer)  
Praxis Society K12 BBS (1:134/3003): +1 403 529 1610 (Telebit T2500SA)

-----  
Date: Mon, 19 Apr 1993 22:40:45 GMT  
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!uwm.edu!  
ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!news.cso.uiuc.edu!ux4.cso.uiuc.edu!apeters2@ames.arpa  
Subject: Internet port on packet  
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

I think the subject line says it all. Is there a  
way to get into internet or any other worldwide or  
nation-wide "land-line type" net?

Avram  
n9oni  
apeters2@ux4.cso.uiuc.edu

-----  
Date: Mon, 19 Apr 1993 16:48:49 GMT  
From: kgw2!NewsWatcher!user@uunet.uu.net

Subject: method for improving packet thruput in noisy channels (well, maybe)  
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Apr19.033253.18601@cbfsb.cb.att.com>,  
wa2ise@cbnewsb.cb.att.com (robert.f.casey) wrote:

>  
>  
> Better packet reception  
>  
> How about this method for improving packet reception (probably has been  
> done, but here goes anyway). Sometimes, when noise causes a few errors  
> in a received packet, the CRC rejects the packet and tells the transmitting  
> station to resend it. If the noise persists, you are in for a lot of  
> retries, and thruput is bad. Let's say, for example, that the received  
> packets have about 5 errors.  
>  
> Suppose the receiving TNC stores the bad packet. and if the next try is  
> also bad, store it. And if the third try is also bad, you could compare  
> all three, byte by byte, and look for where they differ.  
...

If the error rate is relatively low, you probably don't need to get the  
third try. Just create a third packet, trying data from both of the faulty  
packets until the CRC checks out.

Dave Steele  
Xetron Corp.  
40 W. Crescentville Road  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45246

-----  
Date: Mon, 19 Apr 1993 15:53:40 GMT  
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!emory!rsiatl!ke4zv!  
gary@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: method for improving packet thruput in noisy channels (well, maybe)  
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Apr19.033253.18601@cbfsb.cb.att.com> wa2ise@cbnewsb.cb.att.com  
(robert.f.casey) writes:

>  
>Better packet reception  
>  
>Suppose the receiving TNC stores the bad packet. and if the next try is  
>also bad, store it. And if the third try is also bad, you could compare  
>all three, byte by byte, and look for where they differ. Errors should  
[delete]

>Don't know if this is usually done ("Don't you know that this is called  
>'digital blah blah ba blah', anyone who had 'Packet 101 knows that!"), or maybe  
>the extra s/n margin this would buy is too small to be worth the trouble?  
>It's just some more code in the TNC's EPROM, and a little more use of  
>scratchpad RAM, which room probably exists already without increasing  
>parts count or cost. Just an increase of the time for someone to write it.  
>(No, I don't have the programming skills to try this myself, unfortunately).

This *is* a familiar approach. It fails when the packets aren't framed correctly, which unfortunately is very common on the noisy channel. This will shift the relative byte positions one or more bits left or right. This makes the comparison impossible unless you have the horsepower to do shift and compares across the length of the packets. This is one of those  $n^n$  computational problems. It *is* simple in that it will work with ordinary packet stations, but it doesn't work often enough to be robust.

A much stronger system that uses a different version of FEC is computationally possible. Bit errors tend to come in batches due to the nature of the channel, so if you arrange the packet so that adjacent bits aren't transmitted in sequence you can "smear" the error out in such a way that simple error correcting codes can reconstruct it. To do this you prepare the packet by reading it into the rows of a matrix, attaching a simple Reed Solomon FEC to the end of each row. You then read the data out for transmission by columns, attaching a RS FEC to the end of each column. On receive you read the data back into a matrix by columns, do the FEC calculations, repair damaged bits, and read the reconstructed packet out by rows. Though this sounds more complex, the computational requirements are less,  $\log(n)$ , than the misframed case for the other method. It does require both stations to cooperate in using the method. This is the method used in digital video recorders to deal with "dropouts" in the magnetic media, similar to RF noise bursts. It's amazingly robust. The FEC adds some overhead, but since resends become rare, it's throughput is better.

In pure gaussian noise, a soft decision bit slicer can enhance operations even more, but it fails to be useful in the presence of strong non-gaussian interference.

Gary

--

|                             |  |              |  |                          |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------|--|--------------------------|
| Gary Coffman KE4ZV          |  | You make it, |  | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary |
| Destructive Testing Systems |  | we break it. |  | uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary  |
| 534 Shannon Way             |  | Guaranteed!  |  | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary   |
| Lawrenceville, GA 30244     |  |              |  |                          |

-----

Date: Mon, 19 Apr 93 19:14:12 GMT  
From: gumby!destroyer!cs.ubc.ca!unixg.ubc.ca!kakwa.ucs.ualberta.ca!alberta!adec23!  
mark@yale.arpa  
Subject: method for improving packet thruput in noisy channels (well, maybe)  
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

wa2ise@cbnewsb.cb.att.com (robert.f.casey) writes:

>Better packet reception  
> . . .  
>Suppose the receiving TNC stores the bad packet. and if the next try is  
>also bad, store it.

FEC of a form. I would even go simpler than that, I would take \*any\* differences and try them all (why look just for pairs that match). The only (slight) problem is that you are increasing the chances of receiving a packet full of errors as if it was correct (Data wrong, CRC sez not). There are also cases of bit slipping too (loose a bit) that could get your mind wandering.

I did something like this with passall-on and let the confuser reconstruct traffic on the channel from the ascii streams. I did \*not\* see any improvement of copy (and I took a performance hit on the box as it was slow already), but that is probably due to the fact I was monitoring rather than being an active participant (and the fact I did not have access to the CRC) ...

Now, the 'technical' problem. The TNC has NO idea what the CRC is, since the Synchronous UART strips that off before presenting it to the CPU. It is possible to tell the UART to not do the CRC stuff, but that is a big change to the software operation of the TNC (Any Z-80 based TNC). I believe the KAM's processor has good access to the CRC, but with their support, and our lack of source code ...

Ok, who is going to add this to their KISS firmware first?

Ciao, 73 de VE6MGS/Mark -sk-

-----  
Date: Mon, 19 Apr 93 23:00:57 GMT  
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!agate!news.ucdavis.edu!  
csus.edu!netcom.com!netcomsv!orchard.la.locus.com!prodnet.la.locus.com!  
lando.la.locus.com!dana@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: method for improving packet thruput in noisy channels (well, maybe)  
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

In article <daves-190493124744@129.228.20.182> daves@xetron.com (Dave Steele)

writes:

```
>In article <1993Apr19.033253.18601@cbfsb.cb.att.com>,
>wa2ise@cbnewsb.cb.att.com (robert.f.casey) wrote:
>>
>>
>> Better packet reception
>>
>> How about this method for improving packet reception (probably has been
>> done, but here goes anyway). Sometimes, when noise causes a few errors
>> in a received packet, the CRC rejects the packet and tells the transmitting
>> station to resend it. If the noise persists, you are in for a lot of
>> retries, and thruput is bad. Let's say, for example, that the received
>> packets have about 5 errors.
>>
>> Suppose the receiving TNC stores the bad packet. and if the next try is
>> also bad, store it. And if the third try is also bad, you could compare
>> all three, byte by byte, and look for where they differ.
>...
>
>If the error rate is relatively low, you probably don't need to get the
>third try. Just create a third packet, trying data from both of the faulty
>packets until the CRC checks out.
>
```

It really depends how strong the CRC is. You could easily get an erroneous packet which checks out against the CRC. Furthermore, the kinds of errors you get may cause the receiver to lose sync with the incoming data and not receive anything at all until it sees another flag. You can't just store a fragmented piece of a packet without a lot of special effort.

FEC packet would work more easily with less magic; you just program your TNC to send every packet twice. Heck, rather than using a long TXD you could a very short TXD and send the packet twice. The TX Delay time is simply wasted time to let someone's receiver warm up. Sending the packet twice would give slow receivers a chance to warm up and give the quick receivers (i.e. people using DCD State Machines) two chances at reading a noisy a packet....

Dana

--

```
* Dana H. Myers KK6JQ          | Views expressed here are *
* (310) 337-5136              | mine and do not necessarily *
* dana@locus.com DoD #466      | reflect those of my employer
*
* This Extra supports the abolition of the 13 and 20 WPM tests *
```

-----  
Date: Tue, 20 Apr 1993 07:02:14 GMT  
From: tacom-emh1.army.mil!msdos-ann-request@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: PTM522WW.ZIP - Danish Packet Terminal & Mailbox - Multi-ling.  
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

I have uploaded to WSMR-SIMTEL20.Army.Mil and OAK.Oakland.Edu:

pd1:<msdos.packet>  
PTM522WW.ZIP      Danish Packet Terminal & Mailbox - Multi-ling.

PTM Packet Terminal V 5.22 is a Danish Packet Terminal and Mailbox.  
At the moment PTM is able to talk Danish, Swedish, Norwegian, English,  
Italian, Spanish, French, German and Russian. It supports several  
modems: TNC2c, PK-88, PK-232, KAM 2.85, KAM 5.02, and TNC241. The  
mailbox will default to english, but give the user an option to change  
the language to be used.

The PTM Mailbox does support file uploads and downloads, and many other  
features.

The program can be used with no limitations, but the author asks users  
to register - Read the following section of the documentation:

- -  
    If you do not register your copy at once the only consequence  
    is that PTM will flash a reminder for 30 sec's before starting  
    up. There are no other limitations in the program.  
- -

Per Holm - ph@fi.aau.dk

- -  
Internet: ph@fi.aau.dk  
X.400: C=dk; ADMD=dk400; PRMD=minerva; O=aau; OU=fi; S=ph  
FidoNet: Per\_Holm@2:230/22  
HamNet: Per\_Holm@12:210/107  
EEggNet: Per\_Holm@97:9451/4

-----  
Date: Mon, 19 Apr 93 15:21:53 GMT  
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!emory!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!  
destroyer!cs.ubc.ca!unixg.ubc.ca!kakwa.ucs.ualberta.ca!alberta!adec23!  
mark@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: rec.radio.amateur reorg: current/evolving proposal 4/17  
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

ikluft@uts.amdahl.com (Ian Kluft) writes:

>rec.radio.amateur.rdf                      radio direction finding: recreational  
>                      hunts and searches for interference

>rec.radio.amateur.antenna              discussion of amateur radio antennas  
There is the act of rdfing and then there is the equipment. equipment will  
grey area into .antenna or .equipment AND .rdf. (Not a  
disagreement with the proposal, just half hearted support :-)

>rec.radio.amateur.operating              Operating procedures and questions: DX,  
>                      CW, contests, propagation, repeaters  
> alternatives proposed: r.r.a.dx and r.r.a.repeaters  
The .repeater group will degenerate (poor word!) I think into not much  
different than .policy discussions. I'd stick with .operating with a good  
short FAQ saying that .dx discussions are greatly welcomed (as the name  
could add confusion for the .dxers, but much of what they will say WILL  
be salient to operating procedures).

>Issues currently under discussion

>-----

>The following issues and notes relate to the discussions listed above:

>\* a place for beginners to ask their typically-unfocused questions  
> suggestions: r.r.a.beginner (markz/jbloom/emd)  
> concerns: r.r.a.instruction allows enough room for license upgrades as well  
> and r.r.a.beginner will have the same questions repeatedly (pschleck/  
> ikluft/jgt10/kevin)

If I was a newbie on both USENET and Amateur Radio, I'd go to .misc first.  
I am not sure we can focus beginners into any specific group, and once they  
are less green under the collar, participation drops off quickly.

> results so far: only the name is in dispute, beginner or instruction will  
> be on the CFV. r.r.a.instruction is slightly ahead - can everyone live  
> with it?  
.instruction since it encompasses more.

> results so far: only the definition is in dispute, dx or operating of some  
> sort will be on the CFV. r.r.a.dx and r.r.a.operating seem to ruffle the  
> fewest feathers - can everyone live with them?

I'd be saddened to see the .dx crowd not imparting their operating procedure  
know how ;-), but yes, this proposal (I don't support the split of this group,  
but this split sounds the best).

> To break the deadlock: can you live with r.r.a.construction? If not,  
> should we roll up products/construction/technical and call them  
> r.r.a.equipment? (That seems to fit the theme everyone is arguing over.)  
I rest (what, you think you have worn me out! :-)) and support .equipment  
as the meta for products/construction/technical/tech/homebrew/.shack (<---hmmm)



What do you mean the nntp link is down <shaking feverishly> -- 73 de VE6MGS/Mark

-----

Date: 19 Apr 93 11:40:49 GMT  
From: pipex!uknet!acorn!agodwin@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Single chip receiver for FSK?  
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

In article <C5L0xM.E25@law7.DaytonOH.NCR.COM> jra@law7.DaytonOH.NCR.COM (John Ackermann x 2966) writes:

>My goal is to come up with an inexpensive design for a receiver "back  
>end" with IF input on one end and an FSK demodulator on the other. I'm  
>particularly interested in ways to use a higher IF than 10.7 -- do any  
>current chips work up to, say 150MHz with internal downconversion so a  
>normal IF filter can be used?  
>

GEC/Plessey specify a series of FM demodulators (SL1454 etc) for use in  
satellite TV receivers : 150 or 600MHz in, 10MHz of baseband video out.  
I think there's also a related data slicer / clock recovery circuit intended  
for use in DMAC decoders, though that isn't used in the most common  
implementation - it may not be in volume production.

The most easily available components probably vary with local satellite  
standards, and I think the european systems vary rather widely from those  
in the US - so it may be worth investigating locally-available receiver  
designs to find out what's in common use.

-adrian

--

Adrian Godwin : agodwin@acorn.co.uk : adrian@fangorn.demon.co.uk : g7hwn@gb7khw  
ObDisclaimer : I believe this rubbish .. don't imagine that anyone else does.

-----

Date: 19 Apr 93 17:42:46 GMT  
From: sdd.hp.com!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!caen!destroyer!cs.ubc.ca!alberta!ugc!  
nebulus!ve6mgs!rec-radio-info@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: Special Event Station "Memphis Belle" "W4BS"  
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

The Delta Amateur Radio Club of Memphis, TN., will be hosting "W4BS"  
Special Event station to commemorate the 50th anniversary of the 25th and  
final mission flown by the world famous B-17 "MEMPHIS BELLE". The 25th

Mission was flown on May 17, 1943.

This special event will be from 1300Z, May 16th until 0100Z, May 17th. The transceivers will be set up in the pavilion next to the "BELLE" on Mud Island in Memphis, Tennessee. We will operate the following stations:

2 Meters - 146.550 Mhz  
10 Meters - 28.455 Mhz  
15 Meters - 21.320 Mhz  
20 Meters - 14.305 Mhz  
Packett - 145.01 Mhz and on the Internet Converse (CH. 25)

For an especially nice certificate send QSL with contact number and SASE to:

MEMPHIS BELLE  
c/o DARC  
P.O. Box 16343  
Memphis, TN 38186-0343  
USA

For more information, please contact:

David Moore at:  
KD4CAC @ W4BS.#WESTN.TN.USA.NA  
kd4cac @ gate.n9gsa.ampr.org

----- Suresh Kagoo EE Dept , Memphis State University  
| \ / | / ----- | | | | Engineering 211 | Domain: KAGOOS@MEMSTVX1.MEMST.EDU  
| \ / | \ ----- | | | | Memphis, TN 38152 | AMPR : n9gsa@gate.n9gsa.ampr.org  
|\_| \ / |\_| \ ----- / \ ----- / Ph: (901) 678-3867 | AX.25 : N9GSA@W4BS.#WESTN.TN.USA

-----  
Date: 20 Apr 93 00:44:18 GMT  
From: pa.dec.com!e2big.mko.dec.com!dbased.nuo.dec.com!news.crl.dec.com!  
payne@decwrl.dec.com  
Subject: WANTED: TCM3105 chips, small quantities  
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Does anyone know if a source for the TCM3105 modem chips (as used in the Baycom and my PMP modems)? Ideally, something that is geared toward hobbyists: small quantity, mail order, etc.

For years, we've been buying them from a distributor (Marshall) by the hundreds for PMP kits. But orders have dropped to the point where we can no longer afford to offer this service. And all of the distributors I've checked have some crazy minimum order (\$100, or so).

I'd like to find a source for those still interested in building PMP kits.  
Any suggestions?

--

Andrew C. Payne  
DEC Cambridge Research Lab

-----

End of Packet-Radio Digest V93 #107  
\*\*\*\*\*